(c) whether this has adversely affected the export of Jasmine flowers;

(d) whether Indian Airlines pro pose to provide connecting flights from Delhi to Madurai via Madras or Bangalore; and

(e) if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF ENERGY WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI ARIF MOHD. KHAN): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

(d) and (e) Due to suspension of Airbus A-320 operations, Indian Airlines is at present facing acute capacity constraints and therefore, not in a position to provide connecting flights between Delhi and Madurai.

Issue of COB licences to small scale units

308. SHRI PASUMPON THA. KI-RUTTINAN: SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state;

(a) how many small scale units have been issued COB licences during the last three years yearwise;

(b) what are the recommendations of the Technical Committee in this regard; and

(c) whether Government are aware that some of these small scale units have been set up by large industrial houses; if so, what are the details thereeof?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI AJIT SINGH): (a) to (c) Carrying-on-Business (COB) Industrial Licence is granted where an Industrial Undertaking was earlier exempted from licensing but such exemption is subsequently withdrawn. Crossing the small scale investment limit of Rs. 35 lakhs in plant and machinery-is one of the grounds requiring COB Licence. No Technical Committee has been constituted for making recommendations for grant of COB Licence.

No sepaarte statistics are kept on the grant of COB Licences to small units crossing the investment limit of Rs. 35 lakhs in plant and machinery. During the years 1987 to 1989, a total of 185 COB Licences have been granted out of which 14 belong to MRTP companies. Yearwise break-up is as under:

Year	Non-MRTP Companies	MRTP Companies
1987 . .	53	2
1988 . .	52	5
1989 . .	66	7
	171	14

Review of amounts due from drug companies by Special Team

309. SHRI MOHD. KHALEELUR RAHMAN;
SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU:

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 648 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 19th March, 1990 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Special Team assessed the amounts due from other drug companies apart from those involved in Supreme Court case;

(b) if so, what are the names of those companies, names of drugs, amount assessed in each case, period upto which amount was assessed, rate of recovery and year-wise production of each drug taken into account; and

(c) what is the amount recovered under DPEA from 1st February to 30th April, 1990?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) No amount has been recovered under Drug Prices Equalisation Account from 1st February, 1990 to 30th April, 1990,

Overcharging on Dexatopic ©ream

310. SHRI MOHD. KHALEELUR RAHMAN:

SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU:

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to state:

(a) when his Ministry noticed about the overcharging on Dexatopic cream and when was the Company asked to furnish details;

(b) what steps have Been taken to obtain the details;

('c) what are the reasons for not supplying the details asked for from the Company and what action has been taken against the company.

(d) what is the price of bulk drug taken in eight formulations in question;

(e) what are the names of price decontrolled medicines of the company where more than 100% MAPE is being enjoyed by the Company and what are the names of drugs involved in each case; and

(f) what are the names of bulk drugs being produced by the Company which are exempted from price control and what are the names of formulations being marketed based on each drug?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) to (c) In September, 1989 when it came to the notice of the Government that M/s. Infar are manufacturing and marketing Dexatopic Cream without price approval under the Drugs (Prices Control) Order, 1987, on 27.9.1989, the Company was asked to furnish certain details to the Government to enable it to calculate the amount over-charged by them on Dexatopic cream. Although the Company has submitted some information to the Government. the same is not sufficient to calculate the amount overcharged! by them. As such the Company has been recently asked to furnish certain more details in the matter. The Director of Drug Control, West Bengal has also been requested to get the submission of the detailg by the Company expedited.

(d) Since the names of eight formulations have not been mentioned in the question, it is not possible to furnish the required information.

(e) and (f) The requisite information, to the extent available with the Government is given in the annexed statement.

Statement

	Name of the Formulation	Bulk drugs involved	
		Manufactured by the Company	Others
1	2	3	4
1.	*Deca Durabolin Inj. 10mg/ml	Nandrolone decanoate	
2.	*—do— 25mg/ml	—do—	
3.	*—do— 50mg/ml	—do—	